

REMARKS

In the Examiner's restriction requirement, 23 different patentably distinct species were identified from the drawings. The applicant was requested to elect a single species for prosecution on the merits.

Although the applicant disagrees that all identified species are patentably distinct species of the invention, the applicant elects the embodiment shown in Figs. 18-20 (actually Figs. 18-21) for prosecution on the merits. Fig. 21 should be included because it is a detail view of the embodiment shown in Figs. 18-20.

The claims readable on Figs. 18-20 (and including Fig. 21) are claims 5-19.

With this response, claims 7, 8 and 9 are amended to assure that one feature of the invention is understood in its broader sense. Although the term "cable" is not used in the claims or the specification to require a twisted-wire cable, in order to assure the term is understood broadly, it is requested that "tension line" be substituted for "cable" in these claims as noted in the above amendment.

Also, errors are corrected in claims 2, 5 and 9.

Favorable action is solicited. If the Examiner has any questions or any need to discuss the claims or the drawing figures, she is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: September 3, 2003

Thomas M. Freiburger  
Reg. No. 27,063  
P.O. Box 1026  
Tiburon, California 94920  
415-435-0240